



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,670	12/31/2003	Lionel Poincenot	CLEV:628	2778
6160 75	11/19/2004		EXAMINER	
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P. 1421 PRINCE STREET			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
SUITE 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2805			3711	

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	كخ_
ss	
inication.	
erits is	
.121(d). 152.	
ge	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/748,670	POINCENOT ET	AL	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Stephen L. Blau	3711		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress	
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute. cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timel IS from the mailing date of this cond NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.	
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 November 2004.			
2a)□					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the	e merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	accepted or b) objected to by			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control	•	· · · · · ·	• •	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Betieve the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Appending the priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	Stage	
Attachment	(s)				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)		
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S · No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/748,670

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Type of head:

- a. Species 1 (Fig. 7, SCP, SCA planar):
- b. Species 2 (Fig. 7, SCP, SCA non-planar):
- c. Species 3 (Fig. 6, LPA, planar):
- d. Species 4 (Fig. 6, LPA, non-planar):

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it is uncertain what claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/748,670

Art Unit: 3711

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Roger W. Parkhurst on 8 November 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone

Application/Control Number: 10/748,670

Art Unit: 3711

number is (571) 272-4415.

slb/ 15 November 2004

Page 4